

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,035	03/16/2004	Roland Cadotte JR.	CECOM 5450	6336
7590 05/18/2005 U.S. Army Communications-Electronics Command ATTN: AMSEL-LG-L (Roger C. Phillips, Esq.)			EXAMINER	
			HO, TAN	
	, NJ 07703-5010	ips, Esq.)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	

DATE MAILED: 05/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Mi /				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	10/808,035	CADOTTE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAN INC DATE of this communication	Tan Ho	2821	ldua a a				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sneet	with the correspondence ad	aress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may n. a reply within the statutory minimum of the cried will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mutatute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely DNTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	 •						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☒	This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for all	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice und	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	ition.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	•					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	na/or election requirement.	•					
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
The oath or declaration is objected to by th	ie Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form Pi	10-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the priority document of the priority document of the certified copies of the application from the International But	nents have been received. nents have been received in priority documents have bee	Application No	Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			Tanto				
Attachment(s)		PŘIM	TAN HO ARY EXAMINER				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)	A A LI PANAHIAEL				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	B) Paper N	o(s)/Mail Date	O 453\				
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SI Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>03/16/2004</u>. 	B/08) 5)	f Informal Patent Application (PTC	J-102)				

Application/Control Number: 10/808,035 Page 2

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Varadan et al (US Patent 6,525,691), cited by applicant, in view of Sievenpiper et al (US Patent 6,483,481).

Varadan et al disclose, in figure 5A, an antenna device comprising a dielectric substrate 22, a ground plane 26 that is perpendicular to the substrate or parallel to the substrate, see abstract, a fractal antenna 24 having a triangle outer configuration formed on the substrate. The substrate has a dielectric constant of in the range of about 10-600 or more and may be a ferroelectric, see abstract. The patent to Varadan et al differs from the claimed invention because it does not disclose a ground plane structure having at least a protrusion extending from the planar surface. Sievenpiper et al show, in figure 1, a high impedance surface or ground plane, a plurality of hexagon shaped pedestals, and a plurality of post interconnecting each of the pedestals and the planar surface. The patent to Sievenpiper also teaches that a thin antenna formed on the ground plane, see column 1, lines 13-18. Since one of ordinary skill in the art would have recognized the benefits of altering the electromagnetic properties, it would have

Application/Control Number: 10/808,035 Page 3

Art Unit: 2821

been obvious to provide the antenna system of Varadan et al with the ground plane structure as taught by Sievenpiper et al.

3. The patents to Pasper, Jr. et al, Diazet al, Carson et al are cited as of interest showing the antenna similar to that disclosed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan Ho whose telephone number is (571) 272-1822. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00AM - 5:00PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Don Wong can be reached on (571) 272-1834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TAN HO PRIMARY EXAMINER